



OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

Memorandum
From the Office of
Chairwoman Lea Márquez Peterson
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
(602) 542-3625

TO: Docket Control

DATE: December 14, 2021

FROM: The Office of Chairwoman Lea Márquez Peterson

RE: Docket No. RU-00000A-18-0284

The following contains approximately 97 public comments the Office of Chairwoman Lea Márquez Peterson recieved "against" the Energy Rules between September 30, 2021 and December 14, 2021.

Madison Doyle

From: DAVID FOSSDAL <dkfossdal@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2021 12:10 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: NO GREEN NEW DEAL-NO NEW TAXES

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I am an Arizona ratepayer and are OPPOSED to the clean energy plan (docket# RU-00000A-18-0284) that would raise my utility bills and ban all fossil fuels. It's just plane stupid. Besides, I'm retired and on SSA, which isn't even a \$1000.00/mo. I paid into the system for almost 50 years! The leftist-liberals are ruining this City, County and State by all their selfish and stupid agendas.

David Fossdal

dkfossdal@cox.net

Madison Doyle

From: Cheryl Gottshall <cheryl_1081@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2021 8:10 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Cc: Cheryl Gottshall
Subject: Clean Energy Plan - Oppose

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Please take notice:

I am an Arizona ratepayer and a registered voter and I am OPPOSED to the clean energy plan (docket# RU-00000A-18-0284) that would raise my utility bills and ban all fossil fuels.

Signed,
Cheryl Gottshall

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Madison Doyle

From: tjaz@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 10:18 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I say "No" to "Green Energy" proposal.

Madison Doyle

From: Karyl Krug <kjkrug@cox.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 8:01 PM
To: OConnor-Web; Old; Tovar-Web; LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web
Subject: APS rate hike request

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

To the Honorable Commission:

Thank you so much for doing the right thing regarding the latest in a never-ending series of APS rate hike request. The Zoom town hall, where citizens could ask AZCC members anything they wanted to without filtering those questions, was a breath of fresh air since many elected officials refuse to hold unfiltered town halls and ignore their own constituents. I thought it was a great success. The Commission members handled questions and comments honestly and well.

As a registered AZ Independent, I have long crossed party lines to vote for people doing a great job.

I understand that you have other decisions to make, and this e-mail lays out my concerns.

APS is a monopoly utility, to state the obvious.

Decades ago, George W. Bush sold the privatization of utilities by telling Americans that we would pay lower prices due to free market competition.

As we know now, that wasn't true. Instead, APS/PWC enjoy government-set profits; and are protected to a great extent from free-market competition by legislative action. I like to call this set-up "socialized profits for the wealthy." While they argue that they are entitled to non-capitalist benefits because they provide an essential service, they've turned 180 degrees from Bush's original promises.

For the 10 years I've been watching, APS has characterized lessened profits for Pinnacle West Capital as a loss. Less profit for the APS or their holding company is not a loss. They make enough money that they're an S & P 500 company trading as PNW. In what recent quarter has PNW posted a loss?

As Mark Twain famously said, "There are lies, damned lies, and statistics."

The two entities, APS & PWC, share a physical address, 400 North 5th Street. Are we paying for the offices of both APS & their holding company, PWC? Or is APS paying rent to PWC that APS claims as a cost or tax write-off? That APS once had an office at AZCC tells me that they are willing to set ethics aside for profits.

PWC, in their second quarter 2021 shareholder report, shows increased profits from the first quarter. They touted our record heat wave as a great thing for stockholders, although it is a terrible thing for customers. The conflicts of interest never end.

My journey with APS began after they notified customers that installation of rooftop solar, at our own expense, would benefit all ratepayers by decreasing infrastructure/grid costs. We did our civic duty, borrowed money from our retirement funds, and did as they asked. Not a year later, they characterized us as selfish people who caused increased rates for all customers. Which is ironic given their non-stop requests rate increases. Today, they claim rooftop solar generation as part of their infrastructure/grid -- like they told us in 2011-12 before slandering us with false claims.

So are rooftop solar customers assets or liabilities?

APS sells any power we generate at a profit. Their new rooftop solar program is just insane. They pay customers a whopping \$30 a month (a rip-off) for rooftop solar systems that APS claims as their property, parked on Arizonans private property. Which creates many issues. Can they trespass on customers' private property since they own something connected to their homes? How does that square with various neighborhoods' HOA rules prohibiting private businesses from operating in those neighborhoods? Can APS obtain a lien and/or foreclose on their homes? Where are they in the hierarchy of possible lien holders or creditors in, say, a bankruptcy court? And so forth.

Here is what I do not think we should pay for:

1) Advertising & PR when they are a monopoly utility and we are captive consumers. Why are they spending our money on advertising when we have no choice? Their marketing appears on billboards, all over Chase Field and Footprint Center, home of the Phoenix Suns, etc.

Contrariwise, they awarded a whopping \$500 to one teacher to pay for school supplies teachers should not have to personally pay for. But APS/PWC needs a 9-figure profit.

2) Lobbyists working against ratepayer interests. We are paying people who work tirelessly to raise electricity rates we then must pay.

3) Settlements to survivors of the people they kill because of their reckless disregard for human life in pursuit of profits. Shouldn't these "persons" under Citizens United be criminally prosecuted?

4) They were against solar, when, all the while, their PR Dept. said they were for it -- until APS figured out a way they alone could profit from it. Just to play devil's advocate, why should we pay for technology they claimed didn't work? I'm for solar power plants. What I don't like APS's multiple changing and contradictory positions on solar power.

Global warming, whatever you think it is caused by, is something PWC touts as a benefit to stockholders. How pro-solar or environmentally conscious can APS really be?

5) Power plants they build or own out-of-state. Why should, or how can, AZ government make us pay for that, when AZ doesn't have jurisdiction over utility assets in other states? New Mexico has jurisdiction over any power plant in NM. Any property or other taxes the New Mexico plant may pay go to New Mexico. They must comply with New Mexico's regulations, not Arizona's. This creates jurisdictional and conflict of laws issues, at the very least. Ask your lawyer(s).

Imagine if a New Mexico residents asked New Mexico regulators to enact a regulation impacting APS? How does that impact AZ regulations if NM regulations are inconsistent? It's a sticky wicket.

During the rooftop solar kerfuffle of 2011-12, I was so vocal that APS assigned me my very own lobbyist. He appealed to my self-interests, telling me that I was grandfathered in for 20 years.

I have no idea how they came up with 20 years, which was not part of the original agreement; so it's a unilateral amendment to the agreement, which is not a thing under contract law.

But the main thing is their lobbyist assumed that, if I had mine, I would not care about other AZ citizens. Thus asking me to be precisely the selfish ratepayer they campaigned against in 2011-12.

I'm not wired that way. I care about my fellow citizens.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Respectfully,

Karyl Krug

Karyl Krug M.A., J.D.

9

51

kjkrug@cox.net

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Nicholas Irvin <npirvin@asu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 1:10 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Just Energy Transition for Navajo Nation

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Lea Marquez Peterson,

I was happy to vote for you as my only Republican choice last year. I really valued your clear discussion in the debates.

I am writing to urge you to speed up the Commission's consideration of APS's "Just Energy Transition" proposal. This action offers many needed benefits to the Navajo nation as well as to Arizona's transition from coal to renewables.

The proposal was filed a year ago. A swift decision would greatly aid the Navajo nation's economic recovery after the closure of many power plants in NE AZ.

Best, Nick Irvin,
A PhD student at ASU

Madison Doyle

From: Jake Bastian <Jake.Bastian@iconpower.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 2:48 PM
Subject: APS Rate Case Comment Letter
Attachments: Icon Power Comment Letter 9-30-2021.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Please see the attached letter regarding the APS rate case.

Best,

Jake Bastian | CEO

Jake.Bastian@iconpower.com | iconpower.com

ICONPOWER



Madison Doyle

From: Frank Craig <azcwraig@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 5:21 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: APS unfair rates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

To: ACC

RE: APS bad investments

Please hear me, please! The rate hike that APS wants is unfair to their customers. Lots of us have no choice in where we get our grid power. The fact that APS invested 400 million in a plant that they knew was not in compliance with Federal regulation and the fact that it really needed to be shut down is not the customer's fault. If the APS board doesn't know that to buy that is a good deal for them, they need to get people who do. This is not the time to saddle APS customers with higher cost! The Biden administration already has plans for more taxes saying we need to rebuild the country. I just paid a tax raise on my property and the School District has plans for an override. Just think how much a person on a fixed or low income can stand. My health insurance along with everything else is rising. My question to ACC and APS is should I just die so someone with a larger income can pay for bad investments.

Franklin Craig, Cottonwood, AZ.

Soon to be priced out of this world!

Madison Doyle

From: CARL JR <JRBART43@msn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 7:37 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: docket# RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

NO GREEN ENERGY

Madison Doyle

From: Teresa Guengerich <tgguengerich@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 9:34 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I strongly OPPOSE DOCKET RU-00000A-18-0284 which will increase the cost of fuel and energy efficiency. Wind and solar power are not sufficient and cannot replace natural gas for efficiency and price. You will cripple the people, especially the ones on fixed income. Federal government is already increasing the cost of everything and we cannot afford any additional costs from the state. Please OPPOSE any plan that will result in any increase of costs to the public for the next several years.

Madison Doyle

From: Joseph Atkins <dialysisjoe@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 6:34 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Adding Green New Deal will destroy the poor and middle class.
Attachments: U.S. electricity generation at utility-scale facilities in 2016.png

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lea,

Thanks so much for reaching out to Arizonans on the subject of The New Green Deal and Arizona's energy.

86% of America's electricity runs on fossil fuels. Please see attached pie chart on this. It's from 2016, but it hasn't changed. California is being destroyed by TNGD and will suffer rolling blackouts, like some 3rd world nation. My wife and I have been saving energy since we've been married. We were among the first to adopt energy saving bulbs. We built and used our own solar energy, before it was popular to do so. We know what conserving water and energy is all about.

Unfortunately, without nuclear generated power, or a variation thereof, there isn't enough solar and wind to carry America's five grids. Texas, which has its own grid and more wind generators than about any other state, had brown outs and black outs during last winter's severe ice storms. If wind and solar could carry our five U.S. grids, I'd be all over it, supporting it 100%. However, with today's technology, it's a pipe dream.

All these people pushing electric cars don't realize that creating one electric car causes more pollution than a semi-truck after running 200K miles, and that's before it's purchased and on the road. Then imagine having an electric car, or two, or three, in every garage charging overnight. Our five nation grids would collapse under that weight. To make matters worse, our five national grids are woefully in bad repair. The lines and transformers aren't in any shape to carry the needs of our nation, particularly if we go all in on TNGD. Please note that my wife, Annette, and I, own a gas-powered Ford F150, but local trips are all made with our little all electric Fiat 500e. We only use our truck for long distance traveling. We bought the Fiat 500e, used and inexpensively, but it only can go 85 miles round trip on a full charge. Even the newest and most expensive electric cars and trucks are limited on distance (200-300 miles) you can get on a full charge and then you're dependent on charging stations. I have many friends who own a Tesla and they're constantly complaining and fretting about charging their cars on a trip (at best, 300 miles). I can travel 600 miles on one fill up with our F150. Most Tesla owners keep a second gas powered car if they're traveling any distance (probably all if they were honest).

Eventually, we may get the technology to go all electric without fossil fuels. However, our technology isn't there, yet. Causing people to suffer through black outs, brown outs, and punishing them with 78% increases in gas and electric bills isn't going to make their lives better. The New Green Deal was created by, or rather it was given to AOC, a woman who barely graduated from college and tended bar before she made it through her interview, or rather audition for the part of Congresswoman. To make matters worse, she stole tips from her coworkers. It's shocking to me that such a person has been able to put forth such a destructive energy policy. Whoever is behind AOC and TNGD is not working for the benefit of working and retired Americans on

fixed incomes. She's an actor reading the scripts being given to her by George Soros, a declared enemy of the USA. George says the USA "must go in order to make way for a one world government." The New Green Deal, if adopted, will help make Mr. Soros' dream come true.

Like I said, Annette and I are very water and energy conscience. We do have to conserve energy, but it's not going to get done with TNGD. \$3.5 trillion dollars are on the table in Congress and very little of that money will go to repair our energy infrastructure, our roads or bridges. Instead, it's going to go into the pockets of the politically connected (most of whom have representation on K street in D.C.) not the American people. We, the American tax payors, will get the bill, but none of the benefits of all this spending, which we don't have, and must be borrowed. A good deal of that money will be borrowed from Communist China. After two tours of duty in Vietnam, I never dreamed that the USA would be going to Communist China with hat in hand, begging them to buy our debt. The New Green Deal will only give the CCP more power over us than they have, now.

May God bless and guide you as you maneuver though the pressures presented by the blind supporters of TNGD.

Sincerely,

Joe and Annette Atkins

Cell: .

Madison Doyle

From: Ben Van Maren <bpvanmaren@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 3:59 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: Urge to quickly pass the Clean Energy Rules

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Chair Marquez Peterson and Members of the Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am writing to you with an urge to quickly pass the Clean Energy Rules. I am a Phoenix resident (zip 85004) and I care immensely about the safety of our climate future for everyone. Without effective and quickly-implemented clean energy rules, the future looks very bleak: the recent IPCC report was clear about this, and I am sure you are familiar with its contents.

The Clean Energy Rules are what Arizona needs.

Lastly, I want to alert you to a recent report by the AZ Tech Council and CERES which debunked the Ascent report. Please keep this in mind as you make decisions. "The costs of the clean energy transition were likely inflated for Arizona Public Service in particular, largely because of faulty cost assumptions provided by the utility. For example, APS assumed costs for solar and wind power that are much higher than industry standards, and likely further inflated costs by failing to account for various tax credits for clean energy development. The modeling in the Ascent report also underestimated short-term gas costs, making the costs of fossil-fueled electricity seem cheaper than it should."

Report link: <https://www.ceres.org/sites/default/files/E000016214.pdf>

A concerned AZ resident,
Ben

Quote link: <https://www.ceres.org/news-center/press-releases/key-report-likely-overstated-costs-arizonas-clean-energy-rules-new>

Madison Doyle

From: Rivko Knox <bvaandr@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 3:19 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; OConnor-Web; Rivko Knox; Tovar-Web
Subject: To the ACC Commissioners Re Clean Energy Regulations Docket Nos. E-00000V-19-0034 and RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

To the ACC Commissioners:

I am a long time resident of Phoenix, an APS customer, a mom, grandma and someone who cares about the future of our planet as a habitat for humans as well as a person who has to pay bills, including APS, on a somewhat limited income stream.

I spoke at the last ACC meeting regarding the APS rate increase request (I spoke against it) and was very pleased with the actions the ACC has taken so far in regard to APS. Further, about a week ago, I called all (or most??) of you and left a message urging you to move quickly on the Clean Energy Regulations (see the Docket #'s above). Today I'm following up with this email.

Having managed a federal grant program for many years, I well understand the importance of regulations. A law, an adopted plan, etc. are of major significance but it is the regulations that really ensure appropriate implementation and deal with the 'details' (in which the devil lies, supposedly).

So, please take action ASAP on the Clean Energy Regulations.

Sincerely,

Rivko Knox

2

bvaandr@gmail.com

Madison Doyle

From: Terry Finefrock <tlfinefrock@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 12:16 PM
To: MAILBOX E-docket; LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Cc: delycihf@hotmail.com; 'Mary Darling'; Michael Ortega; regina.romero@tucsonaz.gov; 'Wichner, David'; 'Court Rich'; Russell Lowes; 'Skip Laitner'; lauren@kennedykuby.com
Subject: Ratepayer Comments: Docket E-01345A-19-0236 APS, Approve Peterson AM2
Attachments: RatepayerComment_190236_AM2_102821.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

eDocket:

Please post my attached comments to the referenced docket.

Thanks-

Terry Finefrock, CPIM
AZ Ratepayer
Tucson, AZ
:cell

Madison Doyle

From: rk.newell@cox.net
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:17 AM
To: rk.newell@cox.net
Subject: RE: Energy crisis
Attachments: Fossil fuels real objective.jpeg

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

It is true we have blamed energy as the focal point to cover many disastrous liberal objectives..especially when most of the energy bills are impossible to maintain. Is it possible to readjust or minimize the GREEN mis-objective and eliminate the sister bill components to actually achieve a successful Infrastructure objective

Bob Newell
Goodyear AZ

The test of friendship is
Who shows up, when it's inconvenient, private and unglamorous.

Madison Doyle

From: Jeremy Rigby <Jeremy.Rigby.483964295@p2a.co>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 9:22 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
Jeremy Rigby

Madison Doyle

From: Nancy Brown <nancyjmail@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 8:25 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: NO on new Green CA. Style new deal

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Sent from my iPad

Madison Doyle

From: George Koch <George.Koch.483802447@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 11:30 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
George Koch

Dr

Madison Doyle

From: Anthony Ostrow <Anthony.Ostrow.244290117@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:40 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

Work on behalf of individuals and families who simply cannot afford the high costs of utilities. Individuals on fixed income are struggling with the inexcusable high costs.

Advocate for the people against corporations greed and power.

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
Anthony Ostrow
it

Madison Doyle

From: Hazel Chandler <hazelucs@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:05 AM
To: SDKennedy-Web; Tovar-Web; LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Status of the Clean Energy Rules

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I would like to give an update tomorrow at the Arizona Climate Action Coalition meeting about the status of the Clean Energy Rules. I believe that the hearings are complete. I would like to know when this will go before the commission for a vote.

Every day we waste make a difference on our future path and the livability of the planet for my grandchildren and all children. I encourage you to act swiftly on this issue and then get to work implementing these new regulations.

--
Hazel Chandler
Arizona Outreach Consultant
Union of Concerned Scientists
hazelucs@gmail.com

Madison Doyle

From: Scott Trammell <Scott.Trammell.475424040@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 8:58 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
Scott Trammell

Madison Doyle

From: Gerry Milliken <Gerry.Milliken.273581725@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 8:16 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
Gerry Milliken

Madison Doyle

From: Linda Wix <lindaw0909@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 1:53 PM
To: OConnor-Web; SDKennedy-Web; LMarquezPeterson-Web; Tovar-Web; Olson-Web
Subject: Fwd: More solar, wind and renewables

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Chairwoman and Corporation Commissioners:

I received this email from APS. My input to the APS representative on the phone was that wind and solar are NOT reliable sources of energy and I am against increasing the percentage. I also asked her to put my comments in writing to the Managers at APS. Secondly, by going to renewable sources of energy at a larger mix, Arizona is not going to do anything about Climate Change and our rates will increase. Climate Change has happened over the centuries our world has been in existence and we are not going to be able to do anything about it by going to higher percentages of wind and solar (renewables that are unreliable). Does anyone remember the "Dust Bowl" days in the 1930's?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Linda Wix

----- Forwarded message -----

From: APS <donotreply@info.aps.com>
Date: Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 2:12 PM
Subject: More solar, wind and renewables
To: <lindaw0909@gmail.com>



**We love Arizona's
natural beauty, too**

[Learn more](#)

Actions to take care of the environment include a commitment to 100% clean energy by 2050.



Our commitment to you — 100% clean energy by 2050. We made that bold statement in January 2020. Today, we're on schedule to meet it.

Here's what we're doing today:

- Our energy mix is 50% clean.
- We rank #1 per capita for residential solar among utilities in the West.
- Customers currently benefit from solar generated by our 10 large-scale solar fields and rooftop efforts like our Solar Communities program.

By 2030, we are working to provide you an energy mix that is 65% clean energy with 45% of our mix coming from renewables like solar and wind.

Learn more about our efforts to improve the impact on the environment at aps.com/cleanenergy.



Please DO NOT REPLY to this email address. This mailbox is not monitored.

[Unsubscribe](#) [Terms of use](#) [Privacy Policy](#)

400 N 5th Street, Phoenix, AZ 85004 --- copyright © 2021 aps. all rights reserved

aps.com

Madison Doyle

From: MICHAEL CHRISTENSEN <MICHAEL.CHRISTENSEN.487028380@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 1:42 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
MICHAEL CHRISTENSEN

Madison Doyle

From: Karen Ann Moscato <kmoscato2016@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:34 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: New Energy Rules

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good Morning!

I am taking the time to write to the commissioners on the proposed new energy rules which you will be voting on in the near future.

As a resident in rural Arizona, Mohave County, our resources are of great importance to our sustainability. To that end we must make policies that are working with our natural resources to sustain our growth as well as to balance depleting what Arizona has to offer in our environment.

Energy rules must phase out the old fossil fuel drain as well as offer Arizona energy that allows for our future. **I believe the new energy rules proposed is a great start in that direction.** We, in Arizona, have an opportunity to show America what can be done to treasure our existing water supply, keep our air clean and breathable as well as allow for our sun, which shines every day, to power our needs in terms of sustainable clean energy.

I urge you to carefully ponder your vote as it's not just a vote for you; as it is a vote for all of us in Arizona. **VOTE YES** on the energy rules this fall/winter.

Thank you,

Karen A. Moscato
Bullhead City, Arizona 86442

--
Karen
Karen A Moscato

Madison Doyle

From: rk.newell@cox.net
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:25 AM
To: 'Cynthia Schwartz'; sue.harrison@cox.net
Subject: RE: Support
Attachments: possible reasons why VA Dem feels he will lose.jpg

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Besides energy problems here is what the VA Dem feels he will lose by..FYI

Bob Newell

The test of friendship is
Who shows up, when it's inconvenient, private and unglamorous.

From: rk.newell@cox.net <rk.newell@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 4:38 AM
To: 'Cynthia Schwartz' <cynthiacschwartz@gmail.com>; 'sue.harrison@cox.net' <sue.harrison@cox.net>
Subject: RE: Support

Sorry, I thought I was emailing to the support person for Kari Lake,,who indicated she might come back with some suggestions on my helping them..

I would also like to have some idea on who we are really going to support or does that come last minute?

I sent an energy note to the people the C suggested and have done foldup on the changes the Dems are making to fake the public into singing the BIG Infrastructure plus bile, and of Couse have followed up with several additional to help the Republican to maybe focus on what needs to be done with energy, now or at least after they pass that impossible bill. The price of gas is now 3.69 and soda is 2.00 like 70-90% more. And it will never stop

Bob Newell

The test of friendship is
Who shows up, when it's inconvenient, private and unglamorous.

From: Cynthia Schwartz <cynthiacschwartz@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 3:54 PM
To: rk.newell@cox.net
Subject: Re: Hello

Hi Bob,

I checked my email and I don't have your email dated 10/14. Perhaps it went to Junk Mail, which means that it would have been automatically deleted in a week.

Here's my suggestion about submitting issues/concerns to the Club:

Following the leadership structure in the club, I would suggest that you send an email to the Members of the Board.

The Executive Board meets tomorrow, Nov 1 at 10AM.

It is timely that you send an email today if you want the Board to possibly talk about the articles that you have been sending to the officers.

Here are the e-mail addresses for the board.

Sue K. Harrison sue.harrison@cox.net

Camille Grabb camille.grabb@comcast.net

Judy Gallob judygallob@aol.com

Harrison, Kirk" kirksueh@cox.net

Cindy Finnegan cinnfinn5@verizon.net

Cindy Zaklan ZAKSLAND@msn.com

Kurt Junge kjunge@telexainc.com

Nancy Moore golfmoore2018@gmail.com

LINDA SWEET linda_sweet@comcast.net

Vicki Streeter streeter@greencafe.com

Doug & Cyndee Krause dpkremax@gmail.com

Pete Sattig pete@clarktraining.com

You can also call Sue directly at:

I hope this helps.
Cynthia Schwartz

On Oct 31, 2021, at 3:24 PM, <rk.newell@cox.net> <rk.newell@cox.net> wrote:

Can we chat about my support for you

Bob Newell

The test of friendship is
Who shows up, when it's inconvenient, private and unglamorous.

From: rk.newell@cox.net <rk.newell@cox.net>

Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2021 11:51 PM

To: 'cynthiacschwartz@gmail.com' <cynthiacschwartz@gmail.com>

Cc: 'Nancy Moore' <golfmoore2018@gmail.com>; 'rk.newell@cox.net' <rk.newell@cox.net>; 'Senator Sinema'

<Senator.Sinema@sinema.senate.gov>

Subject: Hello

I had dinner with Nancy Moore last night and she suggested we chat..

I have been sending WSJ articles on politics and energy to Sue but Nancy indicated I should send them to you

I am coming to the meeting Saturday with several articles, which seems to me more prevalent now that the election and political atmosphere is getting exciting and maybe we can decide, should I send them to you vs Sue or should I not bother.

Or I could bring them over to you just in case you need them for questions Saturday. One of my thoughts is Sinema is playing hard against Pelosi and "The Bunch" to attract Independent votes who I feel will carry the election one way or the other. I also have an article that identifies the key problems Biden faces today, which might be content for Republican comments to finally FIX rather than following the "Trump" strategy. Which we need to get away from at least verbally

An example is attached

Bob Newell

The test of friendship is

Who shows up, when it's inconvenient, private and unglamorous.



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Madison Doyle

From: Elouise Flagg <weezieflagg@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 10:05 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: Green New Deal docket #: RU-00000A-18-0284 & E00000V-19-0034.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello, My name is Elouise Flagg. I call for a vote against this clean energy deal. I do not want my bill to be increased for the sake of the false beliefs that this green new deal variant will reverse any global climate issues.

These are CA energy style mandates that were proposed by Prop 127 which was clearly defeated in 2018. The people of Arizona were given their choice then and clearly showed their opposition to those rules. I'm sure their views have not changed substantially since. Because of these rules, CA has one of the highest energy prices and least reliable energy supply in the nation. **Why is the Commission ignoring the will of the people?**

This New Green Deal lacks common sense and sound judgment. It is based entirely on the hoax of climate change. It will do more harm than good. We need fossil fuels. We need to open the pipeline, provide thousands of jobs and become oil independent again.

Wind power? There is no energy when the wind isn't blowing. The towers are visually displeasing to some people. It creates noise, and can be harmful to birds and wildlife. It also requires a lot of land. The Windmills are made with plastic which comes from petroleum-based products that are fossil fuels.

Solar power? It relies on sunlight, so it doesn't generate electricity at night. It's more expensive than other sources. It takes a large geographical footprint to generate a significant amount of energy. Panels can cause glare. Do we know how long it takes a solar panel to decompose? How is this good for the earth?

We do not need energy mandates at this point. If APS wants to engage in new projects, they should put their money at risk and not expect the ratepayers to subsidize or bail them out.

Sincerely

Elouise Flagg

Madison Doyle

From: Deana PUCCINELLI <deanaaz@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:50 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; OConnor-Web
Cc: Deana PUCCINELLI
Subject: Green New Deal Mandates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Attn Corporation Commission:

Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson 1300 W Washington St. Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona. The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates. I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18- 0284.

Respectfully,
Deana Puccinelli
A concerned Arizona ratepayer

Madison Doyle

From: Elwood Kuentzler <e.c.kuentzler2@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 2:46 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: No Green deals
Attachments: Document_2021-11-15_143415.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello Chairwoman Peterson:

Attached is a letter from me to you and the Commission. Please read and submitte to RU-00000A-18-0284

Elwood C Kuentzler

Madison Doyle

From: cheryl caswell <cherylcaswell@msn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 3:19 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: Green New Deal Mandates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Attn Corporation Commission:
Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson 1300 W Washington St. Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona. The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates. I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18- 0284.

Respectfully,
Cheryl Caswell
A concerned Arizona ratepayer

Madison Doyle

From: Rivko Knox <bvaandr@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 12:27 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; OConnor-Web; Rivko Knox; Tovar-Web
Subject: Re: To the ACC Commissioners Re Clean AGAIN & MORE URGENT!!! Energy Regulations Docket Nos. E- 00000V-19-0034 and RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

As you can see from the email below, this is NOT the first time that I'm contacting you about this ultra urgent and impactful issue.

I think that I explained who I am and why I am concerned about the Energy Regulations (see Docket Nos. above) in my prior email. So rather than repeat myself, I'll just say: please ensure that action is taken on these energy regulations ASAP.

Rivko Knox,

; bvaandr@gmail.com

On Sat, Oct 30, 2021 at 3:18 PM Rivko Knox <bvaandr@gmail.com> wrote:

To the ACC Commissioners:

I am a long time resident of Phoenix, an APS customer, a mom, grandma and someone who cares about the future of our planet as a habitat for humans as well as a person who has to pay bills, including APS, on a somewhat limited income stream.

I spoke at the last ACC meeting regarding the APS rate increase request (I spoke against it) and was very pleased with the actions the ACC has taken so far in regard to APS. Further, about a week ago, I called all (or most??) of you and left a message urging you to move quickly on the Clean Energy Regulations (see the Docket #'s above). Today I'm following up with this email.

Having managed a federal grant program for many years, I well understand the importance of regulations. A law, an adopted plan, etc. are of major significance but it is the regulations that really ensure appropriate implementation and deal with the 'details' (in which the devil lies, supposedly).

So, please take action ASAP on the Clean Energy Regulations.

Sincerely,

Rivko Knox

bvaandr@gmail.com

Madison Doyle

From: Thomas Simacek <t_simacek@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 7:33 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Please, Vote No on Renewable Mandates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Chairwoman Peterson,

I am Thomas Simacek, PhD, PE from Phoenix.

I am a customer of the SRP.

I ask you to, please, vote NO on the proposed "Clean energy" mandate rules.

These rules are just a back way reimposition on Arizona the California style rules, proposed by the Proposition 127 and clearly defeated in 2018.

Because of these rules, California has the highest energy prices, and the least reliable energy supply in the Union. Similar rules imposed on Germany caused even higher prices and less reliable power supply there. Germany has theoretically installed 1.5 more "clean energy" sources that they ever need, but they cover only about 30% of the supply and fail when they are needed the most.

As such I would ask for NO NEW MANDATES and No rate increases.
We need cheap and reliable energy, no rolling blackouts.
Let the market decide what is the best solution for our energy needs.

Most people do not believe global warming is a dangerous thread.
We can surely survive the predicted 1 ft rise of the oceans in the next 100 years. As far as climate is concerned, most people are killed by freezing, not by overheating.
BTW, the global warming baseline was conveniently picked in the time of "little ice age" of Mauder minimum. That was the time of mass starvation and global poverty. I do not want to return to those temperatures!

The CO₂ is a life-giving gas, critically needed to sustain life on the Earth.
The present-day concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere is 0.04%, a lot less than the average over the Earth history.
Plants need CO₂ to photosynthesize their bodies from which other life feeds. All life on Earth is basically made from water and CO₂.
Evidence of higher plant growth rates in carbon rich environment is overwhelming.
Hundreds of studies show that the optimal level of CO₂ concentration for plant life is about 2.5 to 5 times higher than it is today.
In any way, most of the CO₂ emissions are nowadays in China, India and other developing countries. Policies advocated by the proponents amount basically just to exporting US CO₂ emissions to China.

On the other hand, the solar cells and windmills are linked to many environmental hazards, taking over valuable desert lands, killing protected species, polluting earth.

They are eyesore, hazardous waste and do not really provide too much net energy as their manufacturing requires huge amount of energy.

Penalties for destroying the environment were issued for many "clean energy" projects, Solana in Gila Bend e.g.). The manufacturing of solar cells and windmills not only use substantial amount of energy, but also lot of harmful and rare chemicals. Like Arsenic, Cadmium, Cobalt, Silver, Indium, Fluor, etc. Their mining is linked to slave and child labor. Both of those generate huge amount of hazardous waste when disposed.

Windmills kill massive amounts of birds, often endangered species. They cannot be recycled, so there are already huge landfills dedicated to windmills disposals. And of course, they are taking valuable real estate, often in sensitive areas.

As far as energy storage.

Lithium-ion batteries are causing many explosions and fires.

For example, McMiken fire in April 2019 Arizona, recent Tesla Megaproject in Australia etc. These fires emit explosive poisonous gases, really harmful for human life.

Let us keep the Arizona lands and environment pristine! Stop the polluting "decarbonization" projects!

I would like to underline that I am not an employee of any oil company or any carbon producing enterprise. In contrary, I am just a concerned citizen, who is worried about the environment, costs and power supply reliability.

I am worried that the "carbon neutral" projects will destroy our environment, take valuable desert landscapes, poison our nature, kill endangered species and create huge amounts of hazardous waste. I already see huge amount of landscape taken by these mega projects and shiver in the thought that this is only the beginning.

Please, stop this rule, before is too late!

Respectfully

Thomas K Simacek, PhD, PE

Madison Doyle

From: Kristin Baumgartner <kristinb77@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:11 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you REJECT the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona. The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please don't be swayed by the out-of-state lobbyists that came before the Corporation Commission.

Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284.

Respectfully,

Kristin Baumgartner
Prescott, AZ 86305

Madison Doyle

From: Sara A. Zion <saraazion@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 4:12 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: reject RU-00000A-18-0284

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Charwoman Marquez-Peterson:

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We don't want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates; these mandates do the opposite.

Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates.

I ask that this email be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-000000A-18-0284.

Sincerely,
Sara Zion

Madison Doyle

From: Nancy Green <nrgreen@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 8:16 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18- 0284.

Respectfully,

Nancy Graves-Green

TSGT USAF Ret.

Madison Doyle

From: BEVERLY JANOWITZ-PRICE <bevjano@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2021 12:11 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Stop delaying and pass the Clean Energy Regulations

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I'm a grandmother who grieves the loss of the natural world.

For over three years you have been working on passing clean energy rules with tremendous public support and participation. You have completed the second rule making process in September, but we have not seen the final vote on any docket.

Enactment of these regulations without delay are crucial to the future of Arizona.

Please move forward with any delay to get these regulations enacted and protect our state and our planet!

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Madison Doyle

From: Nancy Cottle <nancy@thebrandedimage.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 9:56 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Reject Clean Energy Rules
Attachments: Corp Comm 11.15.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good Morning Chairwoman Marquez-Petersen:

Enclosed please find my statement for the record on the AZ Corporation Commission rejection of the proposed Energy Rules. Thank you for your consideration.

Wishing you and your family a blessed Thanksgiving.

Warmly,
Nancy Cottle

Madison Doyle

From: Bob Wall <bobwall6205@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 2:33 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Stop delaying and pass the Clean Energy Regulations

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Marquez Peterson,

I'm a native of Arizona and a grandfather who fears for my family in a warming world, yet, I have hope that the Commission will this time pass the clean energy rules .

For over three years you have been working on passing clean energy rules with tremendous public support and participation. You have completed the second rule making process in September, but we have not seen the final vote on any docket.

I'm sure you have good reason for delay, but from where I see it, enactment of these regulations without delay are crucial to the future of Arizona.

Please move forward without any delay to get these regulations enacted and protect our state and our planet!

Sincerely

Bob Wall

bobwall6205@gmail.com

Madison Doyle

From: Mary Elizabeth Lawrence <melawrence@scsh.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 25, 2021 8:12 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Stop delaying and pass the Clean Energy Regulations

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Marquez Peterson,

I'm a Religious Sister who is angry about the lack of action on climate.

For over three years you have been working on passing clean energy rules with tremendous public support and participation. You have completed the second rule making process in September, but we have not seen the final vote on any docket.

Enactment of these regulations without delay are crucial to the future of Arizona.

Please move forward without any delay to get these regulations enacted and protect our state and our planet!

Sincerely

Mary Elizabeth Lawrence

melawrence@scsh.org

Madison Doyle

From: Richard Mauch <Richard.Mauch.499350910@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 11:11 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Remove the grid access charge that APS solar customers must pay

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm writing to ask you to remove the grid access charge Arizona Public Service forces solar owners to pay. Solar energy lowers costs, creates jobs, and makes electric grids more reliable, which reduces the need for costly upgrades.

APS solar customers currently pay roughly \$80 per year for an average solar system. For those with larger systems, the cost can be more than \$100 per year. This charge not only makes going solar less affordable, it's also not justified.

An independent judge overseeing the rate case found no evidence that solar customers increase costs to other ratepayers. Despite the fact that solar customers actually pay more than their share of solar system costs, the grid access fee still stands. Without evidence to justify the fee, APS should not seek a grid access charge.

Commissioner Kennedy introduced an amendment to remove the charge and I encourage you to support this. APS solar customers should not be expected to pay this discriminatory fee.

Thank you for reading my letter. I'm looking forward to hearing about the next steps you will take on this matter.

Best,
Richard Mauch

Madison Doyle

From: lulical61 <lulical61@protonmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 1:03 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT 100% CLEAN ENERGY RULES
Attachments: AZ CORP COMM LTR.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Chairman Marquez-Peterson,

I respectfully submit the attached for Docket #RU-00000A-18- 0284.

November 20, 2021

Corporation Commission
Attn: Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson
1300 W Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

I am reaching out to you today, as a concerned Arizona ratepayer. I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. It would be catastrophic during these challenging economic times for us to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona. **Inexpensive energy lifts all citizens** to prosperity and allows them the bandwidth and opportunity to care more about our environment and own these efforts to spur innovation and personal accountability.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates. Please respect the voters voice when these exact efforts were soundly defeated by Arizona citizens on the ballot years ago.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Maria Lopez', with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the signature.

Maria Lopez

November 15, 2021

Corporation Commission
Attn: Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson
1300 W Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Susan Stone".

A concerned Arizona ratepayer.

November 15, 2021

Corporation Commission
Attn: Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson
1300 W Washington St
Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson and Other Commissioners:

As a concerned Arizona ratepayer, I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. We do not want to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona.

I believe that the claims of many "climate scientists" are not based upon legitimate scientific methods. A good resource that provides information that challenges the climate fear is <https://www.climatedepot.com/> However, I am in favor of energy conservation as I believe that there is strong evidence that hydrocarbon fuels are a limited resource, as evidenced by the incremental energy required over the last few decades to produce oil and gas.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Furthermore, energy shortages in Texas last winter proved that wind and solar energy are not reliable resources and their predominance can endanger lives. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates and allow Arizona to have reliable sources of energy.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284.

Sincerely,



Robert G. Kahl
CFA, CPA, MBA

November 20, 2021

Corporation Commission
Attn: Chairwoman Leah Marquez-Peterson
1300 W Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ. 85007-2996


Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

I am reaching out to you today, as a concerned Arizona ratepayer. I respectfully request that you reject the proposed 100% clean Energy Rules that will cost Arizona ratepayers billions, potentially doubling my utility bill according to the study produced for the Commission. It would be catastrophic during these challenging economic times for us to foot the bill for the cost of these mandates that would ban the use of fossil fuels for energy production in Arizona. **Inexpensive energy lifts all citizens** to prosperity and allows them the bandwidth and opportunity to care more about our environment and own these efforts to spurn innovation and personal accountability.

The Corporation Commission is charged by the Arizona constitution to protect ratepayers like myself by ensuring just and reasonable rates – these mandates do the opposite. Please stand up and protect ratepayers by rejecting the proposed energy mandates. Please respect the voters voice when these exact efforts were soundly defeated by Arizona citizens on the ballot years ago.

I ask that this letter be submitted to the docket for the proposed Energy Rules RU-00000A-18-0284.

Respectfully,



Maria Lopez
4970 W El Camino Del Cerro
Tucson AZ 85745

Madison Doyle

From: Andrew Biggs <Andrew.Biggs.501422664@p2a.co>
Sent: Friday, December 10, 2021 10:33 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Finalize clean energy rules to lower energy costs and create good jobs

Dear Commissioner Lea Márquez Peterson,

I'm glad the Corporation Commission has decided to move forward with a clean energy rules package.

The new rules will make it easier for Arizonans to install solar and store the electricity we generate. This will lower electric costs for all ratepayers and create good jobs.

These new rules will also allow low-income families access programs that will save them energy and money.

Arizonans overwhelmingly support clean, renewable energy. We are counting on you to approve these smart standards. Thank you for reading my letter.

Regards,
Andrew Biggs
Catalina, AZ 85739

Madison Doyle

From: Linda Rizzo <lrizzomail@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 8:10 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates.

Commissioner Marquez Peterson,

Please vote NO on proposed renewable energy mandates, Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284.

Arizona does not have to be California. Arizonans do NOT want that.

Thank you,
Linda Rizzo
Scottsdale, LD23

Madison Doyle

From: Deanna Schreckler <dschreckler@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 8:13 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Votes matter BIGLY to AZ

Good morning,

Please vote no on renewable energy. We know where this leads...high cost and no energy!

Also, this is America and people are to have freedom and responsibility of deciding what to do. Organizations of any kind are not to mandate anything for a US citizen to do in regards to their body especially.

Please do the right thing and vote the way you campaigned.

Regards,
Deanna Schreckler
Ld18 GOP PC and State Committeeman

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Lyle Tuttle <lyle@ltuttle.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 8:50 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Corp Comm Vote

MS Marquez-Peterson:

I supported you last time, but will not in the future if you vote for the Green Energy Plan and/or the mandatory JAB.

I do not know how to be any clearer in expressing my thoughts to you.

lyle tuttle, Surprise

Let's Go Br@ndon!

Madison Doyle

From: Carole L <carolee0306@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 8:51 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284

Dear Chairman Marquez Peterson, as a registered voter in AZ, I am asking you to vote NO on proposed renewable energy mandates, Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284.

AZ voters already said no to these green new deal proposals. Additionally, implementing this kind of mandate while our economy has been devastated not only by covid, but by the harmful policies of this current administration, is simply going to cripple us further.

I implore you...do not visit further damage on us and say no.

Sincerely,
Carole Lindly

Madison Doyle

From: DANA DEO <hellodanadeo@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 9:18 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote No on Proposed Renewable Energy Mandates

Please vote NO proposed renewable energy mandates.

Madison Doyle

From: Amy Maffeo <jamaffeo@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 9:51 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote no on energy mandates

I am contacting you to ask that you vote NO on the Green New Deal plan. The voters of this state already expressed their interest in this plan when they rejected it by voting down Prop 127.

Reject the new plan!

Amy Maffeo
Marana, Az

Madison Doyle

From: william boyes <icwjboyes@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 10:40 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Energy mandates

Please vote no on California style energy mandates.--
William J Boyes

Madison Doyle

From: Michael Kleving <mikleving@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 11:03 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: vaccine mandates

Please vote no on the docket no. RU-00000A-18-0284

We believe this is an unconstitutional invasion into the lives of Arizona's citizens.

Thank You

Michael and Eloise Kleving

Madison Doyle

From: Danielle Ramos <danielle@cdramos.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2021 12:32 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: RU-00000A-18-0284

Please vote no for the renewable energy bill, we do not need to be following a failed states example. The cost is extreme and it won't serve the citizens of AZ.

Danielle Ramos

[Sent from Smallbiz Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

Madison Doyle

From: GEORGIA GONZALES <georgiagonzales@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 2:11 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Please Vote NO on overpriced renewable energy!!

1. Vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates. I moved to Arizona to get away from the bad energy decisions of California! Don't follow their wasteful ways! (Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284)

Georgia Gonzales

GeorgiaGonzales@msn.com

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: jedtaz <jedtaz@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 9:17 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; Olson-Web
Subject: Renewable energy mandates will not affect climate

Carbon dioxide emissions from burning fossil fuels make up just 0.13% of greenhouse gases. Eliminating these emissions will have no effect on climate. Building more wind and solar installations will make our electrical grid very unstable. Please repeal all requirements as to the means by which we generate electricity. For more, see this article on my blog:

<https://wryheat.wordpress.com/2021/11/26/why-reducing-carbon-dioxide-emissions-from-burning-fossil-fuel-will-have-no-effect-on-climate/>

Jonathan DuHamel

Madison Doyle

From: Jean Ferguson <oldscrgirl@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 9:43 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

PLEASE VOTE NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates. These would increase the cost of our utilities for all, and be especially hard on the middle class. AND, they would make our energy production less reliable, possibly causing shortages at critical times.

Sincerely,

Jean Ferguson
Flagstaff, Arizona

Madison Doyle

From: D Thalasisitis <dthalasisitis@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 12:06 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

I am urging you to vote YES on the proposed renewable energy mandates included in Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284.

This is the ONLY way AZ avoids the problems experienced in CA. Thank you.

Madison Doyle

From: Wanona (Winnie) Fritz <wfritz@hcca.phxcoxmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 12:31 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Chr Peterson: RU-00000A-18-0284 - Video: Energy (Hydrocarbons, Wind and Solar)
Attachments: Unobtainium_.mp4

Chairwoman Peterson,

Re. Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284

Thank you for VOTING NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates which do not have realistic outcomes, but also will also costs to my household is estimated to be \$720 per year minimum.

Please see the attached video: a very informative video regarding "energy" sources.

We hear all about the "Green New Deal."

Here's some comparative data evaluating the sources, uses, and disposal requirements.

It is sad enough about the issue of unsightly wind turbines/blades cluttering the landscape.

But, all of this conversation does not even list the issues with wind farms/blades killing birds.....as the "wind farms" are often put in migratory pathways.

There is also minimal discussion about what shall we do with "old" lithium batteries big enough to 'hold' energy for Phoenix Metro; with "old" wind blades (which are not biodegradable and not even made in America); when there are cloudy, calm days or weather like Texas experienced last winter??? and with subsequent rolling blackouts???

Again, **thank you for voting NO on this proposed renewable energy mandate.**

Warm regards,

Winnie Fritz BSN MSN EdD NEA-BC

Sr. V.P. HCCA Management Company (Int'l) USA

wfritz@hcca.phxcoxmail.com

(p)

(m)

Madison Doyle

From: One Lone Cactus <onelonecactus@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 2:01 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates

Every type of energy source has a downside and I believe that there should never be an all or nothing approach to any solution. Do I want cleaner air quality, absolutely, but the <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000015052.pdf> makes it clear that there were only "3 discreet scenarios, none of which were optimized". We as a country need to stop believing in a single narrative around a party line and start think collaboratively in creating a centered approach to all of our problems, not a left or right leaning approach.

I urge you to VOTE NO on Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284, since this feels like a very political reason and there are many more options that should be considered for better air quality.

Tricia Livermore

Madison Doyle

From: Vicki Vaughn <vicki@vlvaughn.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 2:07 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Please VOTE NO - Renewable Energy Mandates DOCKET RU-00000A-18-0284

TO: Chairwoman of the Corporation Commission,

We respectfully ask that you **Vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates.** (Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284)

Besides being expensive and costing Arizonans MORE for energy, how can we forget the Rolling Blackouts in CA last year! The plan is anything but reliable. Imagine that in 115 degrees Phoenix!

California was forced to actually BUY power to keep up! Following California's lead on just about anything is a bad idea, but especially true when it comes to energy policies.

Vicki and Vernon Vaughn

Madison Doyle

From: L <lisaahuntley@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 2:26 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Renewable energy

Please vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates included in Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284. I recently moved to Prescott from King County (Seattle area), WA, where I worked in the King County Department of Natural Resources for 21 years as an analyst in the strategy group. Among my many duties was researching alternative fuel options. I could get very detailed, but I will keep it short. What I found was that government budget offices, climate 'czars' and elected officials deliberately chose 'convenient' start and end points to the life cycle of options to favor the politically popular. Thorough life cycle research includes very disturbing and expensive information that would tank such proposals. Thank you.

Madison Doyle

From: Richard Surowiec <myazoffice2@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 3:43 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: AZ Green New Deal

Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson
Please VOTE NO!!!

On the AZ Green NEW Deal

Thank you

Richard Surowiec
AZ Resident
Pima County

Madison Doyle

From: Rashida Qureshi-Keys <rqureshikeys@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 3:54 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: No renewable energy mandates

This is sure fire way to turn AZ into CA. Vote no!

Rashida Qureshi-Keys
Oro Valley

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Madison Doyle

From: cathy holder <cathyholder@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 4:33 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: voting options

Leah,

Please vote no on the renewable energy bill, and please stop the vaccine mandates. I don't believe the government should force anything and eliminate our choices. Our energy needs are met and are not destroying our planet. Currently this new regime is destroying our world by imposing ideas that do not represent the majority. Furthermore, the health mandates are tyrannical.

Thank you for listening,

Cathy

Madison Doyle

From: Ed Lugo <slug2@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 4:41 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: vote

Please: Vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates included in Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284.

Ed Lugo

Madison Doyle

From: Maria Lynam <maria488@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 6:03 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Re Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

Please Vote **YES** on the proposed renewable energy mandates included in Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284. Thank you, Maria Lynam 4875 Comanche Trail Prescott, AZ 83603

Madison Doyle

From: Lois Fruhwirth <loisfruhwirth@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 6:23 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Please Vote NO on Renewable Energy Mandates

Commissioner Marquez-Peterson,

Please vote NO on docket RU-00000A-18-0284 on Renewable Energy Mandates.

Republicans all over this state voted you last year in order to have a GOP majority to keep our rates low, and to avoid CA-type mandates from coming over to AZ. These mandates will add over \$70 per month in additional costs to users. That's simply outrageous! Thanks to Biden, most retirees are struggling with hyperinflation and looming tax increases. I know of several Prescott-area retirees who have now re-entered the workforce because they could not make ends meet. With 25% of Yavapai County citizens at or near the poverty line before the economy took a nose dive, this utilities rate increase could literally make thousands of people homeless. This can not be seriously even considered.

We are all counting on you to vote a resounding NO on this matter! Make us proud please.

Sincerely,
Lois Fruhwirth
County Chair
Yavapai County Republican Committee

Madison Doyle

From: Richard Ferguson <nn7d@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 6:26 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Subject: RU-00000A-21-0373

I urge you to vote NO on proposed Renewable Energy Mandates.

At a time when inflation is destroying our purchasing power, the last thing we need is to make our electricity less reliable and more expensive.

Richard Ferguson
Flagstaff, AZ
nn7d@msn.com,

Madison Doyle

From: George Grove <ggrove7@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 7:03 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Cc: ggrove7@earthlink.net
Subject: Clean Energy Mandates

Dear Commissioner Peterson,

Please vote no on the renewable energy mandates. The country is not ready to produce renewable energy resources. My son attended MIT as an Electrical Engineer. MIT has been working on energy storage for 30 years and has yet to solve the problem. We are not building new generating facilities in the United States. When the wind doesn't blow enough and the sun doesn't shine enough to generate sufficient power to fill the needs we are doomed as a country.

Thank you for your recent votes protecting those of us who live in Arizona.

George Grove
SaddleBrooke HOA One

Madison Doyle

From: KATHLEEN WEBSTER <w19137@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 7:10 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

Please vote "NO" on the proposed renewable energy mandates. This is a costly green new deal that will end up placing an unnecessary hardship on the citizens of Southern AZ - where I live. Thank you for your continued support on standing up against this massive spending bill.

Kathleen Webster

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: VAL SOLOMON <ssolomon22@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 8:22 PM
Subject: NO on Docket RU-00000A-18-0284)

Dear Commissioner,

I don't think I've ever emailed the AZCC before, however I am compelled to do so now regarding the so called AZ Green New Deal (Docket RU-00000A-18-0284). I live in the greater Tucson area and am alarmed that this is even being considered for our state (we aren't crazy California. We should learn from them-not blindly follow them down the wrong road.) Technology is not yet at a point where we can consider mandating renewable "clean energy" and banning all fossil fuels. All the engineers and experts in the energy field that I have spoken with say that technology is not at the point where we can rely on wind and solar power and the storage systems are not yet advanced enough to store the energy for the evenings, cloudy days, HOT summer days, windless days, etc. These crippling mandates would force us to be dependent upon unreliable sources of energy while at the same time add a lot to our utility bills-not save us money! Plus we would be dependent upon inefficient energy production that would set us up for blackouts during the heatwaves that we have in the summer where we must have reliable power.

I urge you to oppose and vote "**NO**" on Docket RU-00000A-18-0284! Until technology catches up-we can't afford these mandates.

Thank you,

Valerie Solomon

;

Madison Doyle

From: James McFadzean <james@mcfadzean.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 8:41 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

Chairwoman Marquez---Peterson-

I strongly urge you to vote NO on the proposed renewable energy mandates and I want to thank you for your previous votes in opposition.

Regards,

Jim McFadzean



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Madison Doyle

From: Sharon petrie <slpjop@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 9:10 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: No on renewable energy mandates

For many reasons this is not a good idea. Wind, solar, battery, i.e., renewable, are not hoping to save money or be better for the environment.

Madison Doyle

From: John Maynard <billmaynard@qmsconsulting.us>
Sent: Sunday, December 12, 2021 9:38 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Green New Deal Mandates, Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284

Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

Mam, I am writing to urge you to **Vote NO** on the "Green New Deal Mandates," Docket: RU-00000A-18-0284. In order to achieve the 100% clean energy mandate by 2050, utilities would need to phase out all fossil fuels, purchase more solar and wind generation, expand lithium-ion battery storage, and convert natural gas generation to green hydrogen. The cost for all this would be over \$6 billion, and it won't work. We'd be like California with rolling backouts and no air conditioning on 120 degree days.

Please Vote NO!

Respectfully submitted,

Bill

John W "Bill" Maynard
Chairman
Legislative District 11
Republican Party of Arizona

www.azld11gop.org

Madison Doyle

From: Christine Collins <cecollins12@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 7:54 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Renewable Energy policies

Commissioner Marquez-Peterson I am writing this email to urge you to vote NO for the subject policies coming up for vote. These policies will raise energy costs for Tucsonans and bring California energy policies to Arizona.

Your constituents are watching how this vote goes.

Sincerely
Christine Collins

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Ed & Debbie <buckeyeinpa@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 8:38 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: New green deal mandate

My name is Ed Agner and I am a resident and tax paying citizen of Marana, AZ.
I am sending this message to ask you to please do not vote yes on these horrible mandates.
The implications on common citizens are huge.
Our utility bills will sky rocket which many of us simply cannot afford.
Please consider the people of AZ that are on a fixed income.
Vote No!!!
Ed Agner

Madison Doyle

From: Barbara Treick <barbaratreick@me.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 8:57 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote NO

Dear Ms.MP

Please vote NO on the "green" energy matter coming before you. It is not possible for AZ to completely do away with fossil fuels. wind/solar can be part of our energy source, but if you want the State to continue to attract business and jobs fossil fuels just has to be a big part of the mix. Allowing this to pass will cause Arizona to become another California! With rolling blackouts.

Thank you for taking your time to read this.

Barbara Treick
Saddlebrooke,AZ

Sent from my iPad

Madison Doyle

From: Rafael Polo <rpolo1@me.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 9:18 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote No

Please vote no on the proposed renewal energy mandate: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284.

Very respectfully,
Cdr Rafael L Polo, USN (Ret)

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Ken Zebal <outlook_8174615E670DD024@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 9:43 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote no on Docket RU-00000A-18-0284

Hi Lea-

Please vote no on the proposed renewable energy mandates because they are very bad for Arizonans and way too expensive/thnx/kwz

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Madison Doyle

From: JAY MCCALL <jmccall415@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 10:00 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Green Deal

Lea, **Please vote no on the Green deal!** Wishing you a Happy Holiday and New Year!

Jay McCall, SGSB, GRI, SFR

Real Financial Solutions TM

Loans - Real Estate - Cash Flow

- jaymccall.com - jay@jaymccall.com

Madison Doyle

From: tracie trader <tracie.trader@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 10:19 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: NO to the green new deal!!!

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson,

June 30, 2021: New York City Mayor Bill DeBlasio instructs citizens to reduce their use of energy to avoid blackouts during a heatwave. New York has to ration energy after shutting down the Indian Point nuclear power plant on April 30, 2021.

<https://www.powerlineblog.com/archives/2021/06/blackouts-the-new-normal.php>

The energy policy of the radical left has produced black outs and rolling brown outs in California for years now. Why would you want to California our Arizona? Californians are fleeing those exact insane policies that you want to enact here.

The devastation produced in Texas during the February 2021 Polar Vortex resulted in 4.5 million homes and businesses left without power and up to 702 souls lost due to the well heads for the natural gas being shut off that could have accommodated the demand on energy in an attempt to stay warm.

[Blackouts: The New Normal](#)



Americans are used to having reliable electricit...

THEY SHUT OFF THE WELL HEADS FOR THE NATURAL GAS!! THE GREEN ENERGY COULD NOT SUPPLY ENOUGH ENERGY TO COUNTER A POLAR VORTEX THAT HAPPENS EVERY TEN YEARS.

And now you want to bring rationed energy at increased cost to the citizens of Arizona based on junk science. This is how you want to be remembered? Telling the citizens of Arizona that they are not going to be able to use their air conditioners during our summers, or how about when our temperatures dip to freezing, as it is predicted to do this week. And then the icing on the cake is that rationed energy is going to cost Arizonans more; \$60.00 per person, per month.

Here's another scenario for you, Raytheon loses power. Guess what happens, the backup diesel generators take over. You are surrounded by evidence that these green energy sources are unreliable. Stop this and vote NO!!

Sincerely,

Tracie Bunker-Metz
PC24 LD11

Madison Doyle

From: ED BIGGERS <enjbiggers@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 12:01 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote on Green Energy

I do not support any vote to eliminate hydrocarbon fuels until there is a comprehensive evaluation of the costs and schedules associated with providing a reliable supply of green energy. I believe the green energy plan may even have a larger carbon footprint than a hybrid energy plan unless the bulk of green energy is from nuclear power plants. Some of the materials needed to generate and store clean energy are not available in the US. We need to be self sufficient in this troubled world.

Before setting a hard date to eliminate hydrocarbon energy, we should wait until California figures out that it has made a horrible mistake by setting such an unrealistic mandate without understanding the consequences of such a rule.

Ed Biggers

Former President of Hughes Missile Group Former Chairman of Pepperdine Board of Regents

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Scott Oldendorph <scott.oldendorph@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 12:03 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Energy Rules vote

Lea

Please vote NO on the Energy Rules vote. Thanks

Scott Oldendorph
Precinct 187 PC, LD 9 SC
Email scott.oldendorph@gmail.com

Madison Doyle

From: Daniel & Nancy Mazon <djmnlm2013@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 12:17 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web

Please DO NOT vote to do away with fossil fuels. We need energy and the plants need carbon to grow and produce oxygen. We learned this in school in the 50s!

Madison Doyle

From: The Dunns <weebdunn@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 1:36 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: NO on Renewable Energy Mandates!

We are URGING you to vote NO on Docket RU-00000A-18-0284 (100% Clean Energy by 2050) as the COST is TOO HIGH. We are on a fixed income and so are all our friends and family. \$60 a month is a HUGE cost increase, too much for us!!!!

We voted for you and HOPE that you are STILL on the side of the CONSUMER!

The Dunns

Madison Doyle

From: Kathleen LeMoine <user@votervoice.net>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 2:07 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: The Voters of Arizona are counting on you to vote YES on the Clean Energy Rules

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Commissioner Marquez Peterson,

I'm a retired teacher, mother, and grandmother who fears for my family in a warming world. Three of my grandchildren will live through 2200. What kind of world will they inhabit? I am afraid that short-term concerns - politics, money, power - will trump the longterm goal of a habitable planet for future generations. As a single individual, I can only do a limited amount to tackle our many challenges. But YOU are in a position to do more.

For over three years you have been working on passing clean energy rules with tremendous public support and participation. Please vote YES on the Clean Energy rules at the Commission meeting December 15, 16 and begin the work necessary to meet the 2035 goals for clean energy.

New surveys from the American Lung Association reveal that Arizona voters across the political spectrum are increasingly concerned about climate change and want to see the state shift investments away from fossil fuels like coal and oil and toward clean energy sources like wind and, especially, solar.

Further, 75% of registered voter polled support for proposals that do just that – including a plan to transition Arizona utilities to getting 50% of their electricity from clean energy by 2035 and transitioning public vehicle fleets like school buses, transit buses, and government cars to all-electric vehicles.

The Clean Energy Rules have broad support and must be passed without delay. This is a critical step to ensure that we all have a livable future.

Please vote YES.

Sincerely

Kathleen LeMoine

Phoenix, AZ 85032
kmcl462@aol.com

Madison Doyle

From: Charlene Barra <charbara@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 4:16 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote No

On renewable energy mandates.

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Amanda Fischer <asfischer51@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 4:23 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Vote NO on Energy Rules

Lea...This is an important vote!

We want the marketplace, not subsidies, to provide solutions.... NOT government overreach.

Thank you for representing Southern Arizona..now, do the right thing!

Amanda Fischer
LD9 Precinct Committeeman

Madison Doyle

From: alivernois <davenavarrofan2@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 5:43 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: The people of Arizona oppose the Green New Deal Policy

The people of Arizona oppose the Green New Deal Policy and we are asking you to oppose it, as well.

Thank you,
Angela Livernois
Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Madison Doyle

From: Joseph Boogaart <jd.boogaart@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 5:50 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Renewable Energy

Dear Chairwoman Marquez-Peterson

I've already contacted you with the concerns of seniors and others living on a fixed income, earning near 0.00% on savings and now inflation stealing the savings they have.

In 2018, prop 127 proposed to put renewable energy standards in place. The voters defeated the proposition 70% to 30%. They spoke loud and clear. I am quite certain that their votes would not change in 2021. The tactic, having appointed commissions and boards subvert the will of the people is not new. Please do not allow the commission to be used to institute an agenda that was soundly defeated at the ballot box.

Respectfully submitted
Joe Boogaart, PC LD 11

Madison Doyle

From: Mike McWherter <mike_mcwherter@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 8:15 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Energy Rules -

GREETINGS

13 Dec 2021 (Happy Holidays to you and your family)....

Dear Lea -

My name is Mike McWherter and I am a PC from LD9 Pct 163.

Please accept this Email as my most sincere request to you that you and all other members of the AZ Corp Commission vote NO against the Energy Rules proposition this week.

You and your Board are our Voice in this matter and we look forward to receiving your support.

Respectfully,

Mike McWherter

Mike & Gisela McWherter mike_mcwherter@yahoo.com

Madison Doyle

From: Karl Flessa <karlflessa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 8:18 PM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: Please vote YES for clean energy rules

Dear Commissioners Peterson, Kennedy, Olson, Tovar and O'Connor,

Please vote in favor of the new Arizona energy standards that will require our state's regulated utilities to be carbon-free by 2070. The goal and the interim targets are modest but important steps to protect public health and to meet the need to reduce carbon emissions. Arizona's utilities must do their fair share. And this Tucson ratepayer is willing to share the cost of getting cleaner air and carbon-neutral energy.

Clean energy is essential for Arizona's sustainable development. Please vote yes.

Thank you.

Karl Flessa

Tucson

Madison Doyle

From: Bill Bracco <bill.bracco@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 5:25 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web; SDKennedy-Web; Olson-Web; Tovar-Web; OConnor-Web
Subject: Please Do Not Support Green New Deal

Commissioners,

I am writing you to ask you not to support the Green New Deal policies coming before you later this week. These new policies, although well intentioned, will cost the consumers much more in energy costs.

Please consider the rate payers, who are being hit hard by inflation and higher costs across the board.

Thank you for your consideration.

William M. Bracco, Jr.


, AZ 85614

Madison Doyle

From: Nelly Holst <healthycoachingtoday@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 6:16 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000-18-0284

Hi Lea,

How are you? How was your family doing? I hope all is well a merry Christmas.
Would you please vote no on the proposed renewable energy mandate?
Thank you and I look forward to hearing from you,
Nelly Holst



Nelly Holst

#Take Healthy Back

Certified "Food over Medicine" Educator & Speaker

www.facebook.com/healthylivinghappenstoday

www.nholst.towergarden.com

Madison Doyle

From: Rick Peters <rpetetucson@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 6:38 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Energy mandate

Please vote NO, we and our children cannot afford these additional costs. Please listen to the people, this is terrible timing! Why now?

Sent from my iPhone

Madison Doyle

From: Anne Dornberg-Lloyd <patriotdesigner4519@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:13 AM
To: LMarquezPeterson-Web
Subject: Docket RU-00000A-18-0284 Proposed Renewable Energy Mandates

Dear Ms Marquez-Peterson,

Thank you for the work you do on the commission to support us in AZ.

I am a resident of Oro Valley and have recently joined the AZGOP as a PC for LD11. It is extremely important to me to let you know that I DO NOT support the proposed renewable energy mandates that are coming up for vote today on Docket RU-00000A-18-0284, and am encouraging you to vote NO on these mandates.

Thank you for listening to my request, and for supporting the future of AZ by not allowing this to pass.

Sincerely,

Anne Dornberg-Lloyd